Irreducible many-body correlations in topologically ordered systems

Yang Liu,[1] Bei Zeng,[2,3] and D.L. Zhou[1]

[1] *Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*
[2] *Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada*
[3] *Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada*

Topologically ordered systems exhibit large-scale correlation in their ground states, which may be characterized by quantities such as topological entanglement entropy. We propose that the concept of irreducible many-body correlation, the correlation that cannot be implied by all local correlations, may also be used as a signature of topological order. In a topologically ordered system, we demonstrate that for a part of the system with holes, the reduced density matrix exhibits irreducible many-body correlation which becomes reducible when the holes are removed. The appearance of these irreducible correlations then represents a key feature of topological phase. We analyze the many-body correlation structures in the ground state of the toric code model in an external magnetic field, and show that the topological phase transition is signaled by the irreducible many-body correlations.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Mn, 71.10.Pm, 73.43.Nq

arXiv:1402.4245v1 [quant-ph] 18 Feb 2014

Topologically ordered phase may not be characterized by any local order parameter associated with Laudau's symmetry breaking picture [1]. How to characterize this type of exotic phase is one of the biggest challenges in modern condensed matter physics. These topological phases may be characterized by many distinguished features, including that: the degeneracy of ground states depends on the topology of the manifold that supports the system; the existence of anyonic elementary excitations; the existence of edge states on the open boundaries. Moreover, all these properties characterizing topological phase must be stable against local perturbations, making topologically ordered systems promising candidates for fault-tolerant quantum computing [2, 3].

The topological entanglement entropy is firstly proposed to characterize the ground state with topological order by Kitaev-Preskill [4] and Levin-Wen [5], which builds a nontrivial connection between many-body physics and quantum information. The underlying picture of the topological entanglement entropy is to 'retrieve' a many-body correlation which cannot be built up from its parts. In general, calculating with large enough parts of the system, the entanglement entropy is successfully used to identify topological order in several microscopic models [6–8].

In this letter, we provide a novel perspective to signal this many-body correlation in topologically ordered ground states, building on the concept of irreducible many-body correlations [9, 10]. This approach intuitively sounds as irreducible r-body correlation is nothing but the correlation that cannot be build up from any $\leq (r-1)$-body correlations [9, 10]. In a topologically ordered system, we demonstrate that for a region of the lattice with holes, the reduced density operator exhibits irreducible many-body correlations.

To be more precise, for an n-body quantum state and any $r \leq n$, the irreducible r-party correlation (or irreducible correlation of order r) characterizes how much information contained in the r-particle reduced density matrices (r-RDMs) but not in the $(r-1)$-RDMs. For a state σ, denote $C^{(r)}(\sigma)$ its r-party irreducible correlation. The total correlation is then the information contained in the state beyond that in the 1-RDMs. In this sense the irreducible r-party correlations provide a natural hierarchy of correlations in the system – the sum of all the irreducible r-party correlations equals the total correlation [10].

Throughout the paper we consider lattice spin models. For any regions $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ of the lattice, with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$, one naturally expects that there are more correlations in $\mathcal{A}$ than those in $\mathcal{B}$, as all the particles in $\mathcal{A}$ are contained in $\mathcal{B}$. This is in general also the case for irreducible correlations. However counter-intuitively, in topologically ordered systems, one could have

$$C^{(r)}(\rho^{\mathcal{A}}) < C^{(r)}(\rho^{\mathcal{B}}), \tag{1}$$

where $\rho^{\mathcal{A}}$ ($\rho^{\mathcal{B}}$) is the reduced state of the region $\mathcal{A}$ ($\mathcal{B}$). The extreme case could be that $C^{(r)}(\rho^{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$ but $C^{(r)}(\rho^{\mathcal{B}}) > 0$. This may happen when $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ have different topology (e. g. $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ is a hole). In this case the r-party correlation in $\rho^{\mathcal{B}}$ must become reducible, i.e. the information in the r-RDMs of the region $\mathcal{B}$ is contained in the information of the r'-RDMs of the region $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}$, with $r' < r$.

It turns out that the appearance of these irreducible many-body correlations in a region with holes, or the validity of Eq.(1), represents a key feature of topologically ordered systems. We will analyze these irreducible many-body correlation structures in the ground state of the toric code model in an external magnetic field. In addition to demonstrate the appearance of these irreducible correlations and the nontrivial phenomena of Eq.(1) in

these systems, we show that the topological phase transition is also identified by the creation of these irreducible many-body correlations.

The Toric code mode– We start with the toric code model [2], which is a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ model on an $L \times L$ square lattice, with every edge representing a spin, hence there are total $n = 2L^2$ spins. The Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{tor}} = -\sum_s A_s - \sum_p B_p, \tag{2}$$

where s runs over all vertices (stars) and p runs over all faces (plaquettes). The star operator $A_s = \prod_{j\in\partial s} \sigma_j^x$, where ∂s is the set of edges surrounding the vertex s. The plaquette operator $B_p = \prod_{j\in\partial p} \sigma_j^z$, where ∂p is the set of edges surrounding the face p. In the above formula, the operators σ_j^x and σ_j^z are Pauli operators of the j-th spin.



Figure 1: The toric code model. A blue rectangle denotes σ^z, and a red cross denotes σ^x. The plaquette operator B_p and the star operator A_s are demonstrated. The logic operators for the ground state space σ_I^x, σ_I^z, σ_{II}^x, σ_{II}^z are associated with C_{sh}, C_{pv}, C_{ph}, C_{pv} respectively.

When the periodic boundary condition is considered (i.e., a torus), the model shows typical features of topological order such as ground state degeneracy. And the degenerate ground state space is a quantum error-correcting code with macroscopic distance (i.e. the distance grows with system size). As the star and plaquette operators commute the ground state space is given by the stabilizer formalism of quantum code

$$A_s|G\rangle = |G\rangle,\ B_p|G\rangle = |G\rangle. \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, we have $\prod_s A_s = 1$ and $\prod_p B_p = 1$ for any closed surface, which implies that there exist one dependent star operator and one dependent plaquette operator. The subspace of the ground states is characterized by the two sets of logical operators,

$$\sigma_I^x = \prod_{j\in C_{sh}} \sigma_j^x,\ \sigma_I^z = \prod_{j\in C_{pv}} \sigma_j^z, \tag{4}$$

$$\sigma_{II}^x = \prod_{j\in C_{sv}} \sigma_j^x,\ \sigma_{II}^z = \prod_{j\in C_{ph}} \sigma_j^z, \tag{5}$$

hence the ground state degeneracy is 4.

Irreducible many-body correlations in toric code model – We start from considering the irreducible correlation for any ground state $|G\rangle$ of the toric code model. Recall that for any n-qubit state σ, the irreducible correlation $C^{(r)}(\sigma) = S(\tilde{\sigma}^{(r-1)}) - S(\tilde{\sigma}^{(r)})$, where $\tilde{\sigma}^{(r)}$ is the n-qubit state with maximum entropy, which has the same r-RDMs as those of σ, and S is the von Neumann entropy. And the total correlation $C^T(\sigma) = \sum_{r=2}^n C^{(r)}(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n S(\sigma_i) - S(\sigma)$, where σ_i is 1-RDM of the ith particle.

Since the 1-RDMS of $|G\rangle$ are maximally mixed, the total correlation of $|G\rangle$ is $C^T(|G\rangle) = 2L^2$. Furthermore, for any $4 \le r \le L$, the maximally mixed state ρ_M supported on the ground-state space has the maximum entropy among all states with the same r-RDMs as those of $|G\rangle$. Therefore we have

$$C^{(4)}(|G\rangle) = L^2 - 2, \tag{6}$$

$$C^{(\ge L)}(|G\rangle) = \sum_{i\ge L} C^{(i)}(|G\rangle) = 2, \tag{7}$$

and the irreducible correlations of all the other orders are 0. This implies that there are 2 bits of irreducible correlations of macroscopic order in any ground state of toric code model on a torus.

Notice that ρ_M itself contains and only contains irreducible correlations of order 4, in contrast to that $|G\rangle$ has irreducible correlations of macroscopic order. Also the thermal state at temperature T given by $\rho(T) = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{\text{Tr}e^{-\beta H}}$ (with $\rho(0) = \rho_M$) also only contains irreducible correlations of order 4, according to the continuity argument [10]. In general, for a system with m-body Hamiltonian, its thermal state will contain irreducible correlations no more than order m [11].

If any of the ground state can show irreducible correlations higher than order m, then the ground states must be degenerate. And as mentioned above, topologically ordered ground states exhibit irreducible correlations of macroscopic order. This then raise an interesting question: where do these macroscopic correlations come from? To answer this question, we examine in detail the correlations in the local reduced states of the system.

Notice that when $r \le L$, any r-RDM of $|G\rangle$ for a region of the lattice without a hole is independent of the choice of the ground states. Furthermore, any such r-RDM has only irreducible correlations of order 4. As illustrated in Fig.2, this then implies

$$C^T(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_1\cup\mathcal{R}_2\cup\mathcal{R}_3}) = C^{(4)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_1\cup\mathcal{R}_2\cup\mathcal{R}_3}), \tag{8}$$

$$C^T(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_1\cup\mathcal{R}_2}) = C^{(4)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_1\cup\mathcal{R}_2}). \tag{9}$$

Here we use dashed lines to divide the system into seven parts, denoted as $\mathcal{R}_1$, $\mathcal{R}_2$, $\mathcal{R}_3$, $\mathcal{R}_4$, $\mathcal{R}_5^I$, $\mathcal{R}_5^{II}$, and $\mathcal{R}_6$ respectively.

However, if the region contains a hole, then situation



Figure 2: Reducible and irreducible multiparty correlations in the toric code model.The system is divided into seven parts, denoted as space $\mathcal{R}_1$, $\mathcal{R}_2$, $\mathcal{R}_3$, $\mathcal{R}_4$, $\mathcal{R}_5^I$, $\mathcal{R}_5^{II}$, and $\mathcal{R}_6$ by red dashed lines. Two irreducible multiparty correlations in $\mathcal{R}_2$ and $\mathcal{R}_3$ are demonstrated, which also can be regarded as the reducible multiparty correlations in the joint region of $\mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2 \cup \mathcal{R}_3$.

could be dramatically different. One can observe that

$$C^{(8)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2}) = C^{(14)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2}) = 1, \tag{10}$$
$$C^{(26)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_3}) = C^{(48)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_3}) = 1. \tag{11}$$

This implies that the reduced state in a region with a hole contains 2 bits irreducible correlations of orders proportional to the length of the boundary. When the hole becomes larger and finally encounters the boundary, the region splits into two parts, $\mathcal{R}_5^I$ and $\mathcal{R}_5^{II}$, and 2 bits of irreducible macroscopic correlations emerge.

Intuitively, these irreducible correlations in $\mathcal{R}_2$ are contributed by the correlations in the reduced state of the hole ($\mathcal{R}_1$), which are reduced correlations in the region with the hole ($\mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2$). In general, for any region $\mathcal{B}$ with holes, the reduced states exhibit irreducible correlation of macroscopic order, which becomes reducible for the region $\mathcal{A}$ without holes, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$. And the existence of these irreducible correlations of macroscopic order in regions with holes is an essential feature of topological order.

Characterizing topological phase transition – Based on the discussions above, it is natural to use the irreducible correlations of orders proportional to the boundary length in a region with a holes to signal the topologically ordered phase. As a typical example, we consider the toric model in an external magnetic field along the $\vec{n}$ direction [12], with the Hamiltonian

$$H = H_{\text{tor}} - h \sum_i \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_i. \tag{12}$$

Our calculation is based on the numerical exact diagonalization method. Our system consists of 24 spins on a 4×3 lattice, and the irreducible 6-particle correlation $C^{(6)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2})$ of 6 spins in a region $\mathcal{R}_2$ is studied, see Fig. 3. Here it is worthy noticing that $C^{(6)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2})$ is not a topological invariant, but it does reflect the power of creating higher order correlations from lower order correlations.



Figure 3: The region $\mathcal{R}_2$ contains 6 spins labeled by magenta $\oplus$ on a 4×3 lattice.

In the thermodynamic limit, we expect that $C^{(6)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2}) \neq 0$ for a topological phase, while it is zero for a non-topological phase. However, the numerical value of $C^{(6)}$ might not be correct if calculating with finite size systems. Nevertheless, even for the system of this small size, the rate change of $C^{(6)}$ already clearly signals the phase transition. In this sense we suggest to use the maximal changing rate of $C^{(6)}$ with respect to h as an indicator of the phase transition point:

$$h^* = \underset{h}{\text{argmax}} \frac{\mathrm{d}C^{(6)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2}(h))}{\mathrm{d}h}. \tag{13}$$

Based on the numerical algorithms proposed in Refs. [13, 14], we obtain the results of $C^{(6)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2})$ and its derivatives, for magnetic field along both the y direction and the x-direction, as shown in Fig. 4. When the magnetic field is along the y direction, there is a sharp transition behavior in $C^{(6)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2})$ with h, and the phase transition point is $h^\star = 0.99$, which is very close to the previous result $h^\star = 1$ in Refs. [15, 16]. When the magnetic field is along the x direction, $C^{(6)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2})$ shows a smooth transition behavior, and the transition point is $h^\star = 0.37$, which is close to the previous result $h^\star = 0.34$ in Refs. [17, 18].

General correlation structure in topological order – Although we discussed the toric code model, a similar correlation structure should also be valid for topologically ordered systems in general. In the thermodynamic limit, the ground states are degenerate and any ground state exhibits the following correlation structure:

$$C^{(r)}(|G\rangle) = \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } r \leq r_0, \\ = 0 & \text{if } r_0 < r < L, \\ \geq 0 & \text{if } r \geq L, \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where r_0 is a positive integer independent of the system size L. Furthermore, the value of irreducible correlations of macroscopic order $C^{(\geq L)}(|G\rangle)$ is a topological invariant.

In a finite system, the ground state space is generally unique, which does not exhibit any irreducible correlations of macroscopic order i.e., $C^{(r)}(|G\rangle) = 0$ for



Figure 4: The $C^{(6)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_2})$ and its derivative varies with h in the case of (a) $\vec{n} = \vec{e}_y$; (b) $\vec{n} = \vec{e}_x$.

$r > r_0$. However, there are always irreducible correlations of higher order in the reduced states of $|G\rangle$, which is manifested in a region with holes, e.g. $\mathcal{R}_3$ in Fig. 3, as the irreducible correlations is of order proportional to the length of the inner boundary L_I. Here we have

$$C^{(r)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_3}) = \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } r \leq r_0, \\ \simeq 0 & \text{if } r_0 < r < L_I, \\ \geq 0 & \text{if } r \geq L_I. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

When the region $\mathcal{R}_3$ is large enough (typically larger than the correlation length), it then contains the irreducible correlations $C^{(\geq L_I)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_3}) = C^{(\geq L)})(|G\rangle)$, as it is in the thermodynamic limit. $C^{(\geq L)})(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_3})$ therefore is the same topological invariant as $C^{(\geq L)}(|G\rangle)$.

The relation with topological entanglement entropy– The construction of topological entanglement entropy by Levin-Wen [5], denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{LW}$ may be regarded as an approximation for obtaining the irreducible correlations of macroscopic order in a large enough region with a hole, e.g. the region $\mathcal{R}_3$. To calculate $\mathcal{E}_{LW}$, they divided the region into three parts A, B, and C as demonstrated in Fig. 5, where A and C are far apart so they there should be no correlation between them. It is worthy to point out that here A, B, C are taken as parties but not every single spin as before.

The Levin-Wen topological entropy is then given by the



Figure 5: A region with a hole (e.g. $\mathcal{R}_3$) is divided into three parts A, B, C in the scheme of Levin-Wen topological entropy.

total correlation $C^T(\rho_{ABC}) = S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B) + S(\rho_C) - S(\rho_{ABC})$ minus the biparty correlations $C^T(\rho_{AB})$ and $C^T(\rho_{BC})$. For the correlation structure as shown in Eq. (15), it is reasonable to believe that only the irreducible correlation $C^{(\geq L_I)}(\rho^{\mathcal{R}_3})$ contributes to the three-party correlation among parties A, B, and C. In fact, one can show that the irreducible three-party correlation is upper bounded by such type of topological entropy, i.e. $C^{(3)}(\rho_{ABC}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{LW}(\rho_{ABC})$. The proof of the inequality and the equality condition are given in the supplementary material.

The topological entanglement entropy proposed by Kitaev-Preskill [4], denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{KP}$, is defined from the von Neumann entropy of a region without a hole, e.g. the region $\mathcal{R}_2 \cup \mathcal{R}_1$ in Fig. 3. The correlation between $\mathcal{R}_2 \cup \mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_3 \cup \mathcal{R}_4$ comes from the dependence between $\mathcal{R}_2$ and $\mathcal{R}_3$ [19], which implies the area law. Notice that for the topological phase, the irreducible correlations of macroscopic order in the region $\mathcal{R}_2$ will decrease the correlations between $\mathcal{R}_2$ and $\mathcal{R}_3$, thus decreases the entanglement entropy compared to the area law, which then gives the topological entanglement entropy.

Summary – In sum, we use the concept of irreducible many-body correlations to analyze the correlation structure in the ground states of the toric code model in an external magnetic field. The appearance of irreducible correlations of macroscopic order in a region with holes represents an essential feature of topological order. We also demonstrate that the power to create irreducible correlations of higher orders for a region $\mathcal{B}$ with holes, from irreducible correlations of lower order for a region $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{B}$, signals the topological transition phase transition. Our calculation uses a relatively small system, which clearly indicates the transition. Our concept has intimate relations with the idea of topologically entanglement entropy and may be applied to study other systems with topologically order, by calculation with relatively small system size. Our work may shed light on a better understanding of the general many-body correlation structure of a quantum state in topologically ordered phase.

We would like to thank Z.-F. Ji for pointing out the relationship between Levin-Wen entanglement entropy and the strong subadditivity of quantum entropy. We also thank X. Chen, X.-G. Wen, and C.-P. Sun for helpful dis-

cussions. YL and DLZ are supported by NSF of China under Grant No. 11175247, and NKBRSF of China under Grants Nos. 2012CB922104 and 2014CB921202. BZ is supported by NSERC and CIFAR.

APPENDIX

Numerical results between irreducible correlations and topological entanglement entropy

Here we will give more numerical examples to demonstrate the features of the irreducible many-body correlations in ground states with topological order, and also compare them with the corresponding numerical results of the topological entanglement entropy.

First, we consider the toric code model on a 3×4 square lattice with periodic condition (24 spins) with the external magnetic field in the $x-z$ plane. The numerical results of $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$ for different magnetic field is shown in Fig.6. With the increasing of the external field, $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$ decreases from 1 to 0 with a transition. The transition line is also determined by Eq. (13) and labeled by the black lines in Fig. 6. The phase diagram is similar with the previous one in Ref. [17].



Figure 6: $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$ vs. external fields in x and z direction.

Second, we study how the size of the lattice affect our results of $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$. The numerical results of $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$ for the square lattices (2×3, 2×4, 3×3, and 3×4) with the magnetic field along the y direction or the x direction are demonstrated in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8.

In Fig. 7, there is a peak near the transition point $h_y = 1$ for different lattice size in the variant rate of $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$. When the lattice size becomes 3×4, the transition becomes very shap, which clearly identify the topological transition.

In Fig. 8, there is a peak near the transition point in the variant rate of $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$. When the system size becomes



Figure 7: $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$ and its derivative in the magnetic field h_y.

larger, the peak becomes sharper, which also identify the correct transition point.

To compare our measure with enatnglement of topological entropy, we obtain the numerical results of $E_{\rm LW}(\rho^{[6]})$ in the above two cases, which are shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. When the magnetic field is along the y direction, we observe that $E_{\rm LW}$ shows almost the same behavior as $C^{(6)}$, which is consistent with the argument we present in the article. However, when the magnetic field is along the x direction, the behaviors between $E_{\rm LW}$ and $C^{(6)}$ show obvious differences, particularly in the smaller size of the system. The relation between these two quantities will be studied further in the next section.

When will irreducible correlation coincide with topological entropy

The numerical results demonstrated above implies that in many cases the irreducible multiparty correlation and the topological entanglement entropy proposed by Levin-Wen are very similar, which motivates us to ask when



(a)



(b)

Figure 8: $C^{(6)}(\rho^{[6]})$ and its derivative in the magnetic field h_x.



(a)



(b)

Figure 9: $E_{\mathrm{LW}}(\rho^{[6]})$ and its derivative in the magnetic field h_y.

will the irreducible correlations coincide with topological entropy.

Let ρ_{ABC} be a tripartite state of systems A, B, C. Define the irreducible correlation of ρ_{ABC} (given ρ_{AB}, ρ_{BC}) as

$$E_{\mathrm{IC}}(\rho_{ABC}) = \max\{S(\sigma_{ABC}) \mid \sigma_{AB} = \rho_{AB}, \sigma_{BC} = \rho_{BC}\} - S(\rho_{ABC}).$$

The Levin-Wen topological entropy of ρ is

$$E_{\mathrm{LW}}(\rho_{ABC}) = S(\rho_{AB}) + S(\rho_{BC}) - S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{ABC}).$$

First, we prove that $E_{\mathrm{IC}}(\rho_{ABC})$ is upper bounded by $E_{\mathrm{LW}}(\rho_{ABC})$. Consider the strong subadditivity for the maximum entropy state $\rho_{\tilde{ABC}}$, we have

$$S(\tilde{\rho}_{AB}) + S(\tilde{\rho}_{BC}) - S(\tilde{\rho}_{ABC}) - S(\tilde{\rho}_B) \geq 0.$$

This reduces to

$$S(\tilde{\rho}_{ABC}) \leq S(\rho_{AB}) + S(\rho_{BC}) - S(\rho_B),$$

which is exactly

$$E_{\mathrm{IC}}(\rho_{ABC}) \leq E_{\mathrm{LW}}(\rho_{ABC}).$$

From the above discussion we know that the two quantities coincide if and only if the equality condition for strong subadditivity holds for the maximum entropy state $\tilde{\rho}_{ABC}$.

Following Theorem 3 from Ref. [20], we know that this happens when the state is a quantum Markov state. In particular, the Eq. (11) of that reference states that this happens when

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ABC} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \hat{R})\rho_{AB}.$$

More explicitly, this means that

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ABC} = (I_A \otimes \rho_{BC}^{1/2})\big[(I_A \otimes \rho_B^{-1/2})\rho_{AB}(I_A \otimes \rho_B^{-1/2}) \otimes I_C\big](I_A \otimes \rho_{BC}^{1/2}).$$

One can verify that the right hand side is indeed a quantum state whose reduced density matrix on BC is exactly ρ_{BC}. But it is not always the case that the reduced density matrix on AB is also ρ_{AB}. In fact, the following



(a)



(b)

Figure 10: $E_{\mathrm{LW}}(\rho^{[6]})$ and its derivative in the magnetic field h_x.

condition is necessary and sufficient for the equality of E_{IC} and E_{LW}:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{AB} = \rho_{AB}.$$

Notice that

$$C^{(3)}(\rho_{ABC}) = \max\{S(\sigma_{ABC}) \mid \sigma_{AB} = \rho_{AB}, \sigma_{BC} = \rho_{BC}, \sigma_{AC} = \rho_{AC}\} - S(\rho_{ABC}).$$

Therefore we have

$$C^{(3)}(\rho_{ABC}) \leq E_{\mathrm{IC}}(\rho_{ABC}) \leq E_{\mathrm{LW}}(\rho_{ABC}).$$

The condition for $C^{(3)}(\rho_{ABC}) = E_{\mathrm{LW}}(\rho_{ABC})$ is

$$\tilde{\rho}_{AB} = \rho_{AB}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{AC} = \rho_{AC}.$$

In Corollary 7 of Ref. [20], it is mentioned that a necessary condition is that ρ_{AC} is separable, i.e., $\rho_{AC} = \rho_A \otimes \rho_C$. But generally the product form may be neither sufficient nor necessary.

Notice that the above discussion is valid for all the three-party states of finite dimension. It is certainly correct to the configuration in Fig. 5 for the Levin-Wen topological entropy.

[1] X.-G. Wen, *Quantum Field Theory of Many-body Systems* (Oxford, 2004).
[2] A. Y. Kitaev, Annals Phys. **303**, 2 (2003), quant-ph/9707021.
[3] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008).
[4] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110404 (2006).
[5] M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110405 (2006).
[6] H.-C. Jiang, Z. Wang, and L. Balents, Nat Phys **8**, 902 (2012).
[7] S. V. Isakov, M. B. Hastings, and R. G. Melko, Nat Phys **7**, 772 (2011).
[8] S. Depenbrock, I. P. McCulloch, and U. Schollwöck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 067201 (2012).
[9] N. Linden, S. Popescu, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 207901 (2002).
[10] D. L. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 180505 (2008).
[11] J. Chen, Z. Ji, B. Zeng, and D. L. Zhou, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 022339 (2012).
[12] S. Dusuel, M. Kamfor, R. Orús, K. P. Schmidt, and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 107203 (2011).
[13] D. L. Zhou, "An efficient numerical algorithm on irreducible multiparty correlations," (2009), arXiv:0909.3700.
[14] S. Niekamp, T. Galla, M. Kleinmann, and O. GÃŒhne, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 125301 (2013).
[15] J. Vidal, R. Thomale, K. P. Schmidt, and S. Dusuel, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 081104 (2009).
[16] R. Orús, A. C. Doherty, and G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 077203 (2009).
[17] I. S. Tupitsyn, A. Kitaev, N. V. Prokof'ev, and P. C. E. Stamp, Phys.Rev.B **82**, 085114 (2010), 0804.3175.
[18] F. Wu, Y. Deng, and N. Prokof'ev, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 195104 (2012).
[19] M. M. Wolf, F. Verstraete, M. B. Hastings, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 070502 (2008).
[20] P. Hayden, R. Jozsa, D. Petz, and A. Winter, Communications in Mathematical Physics **246**, 359 (2004).